

Cohomology ring : Solution Sheet 5

◇ **Exercise 1. Functoriality.** Consider the inclusion $i: C_2 \hookrightarrow C_4$ and the projection $p: C_4 \rightarrow C_2$. Let F_\bullet be the free periodic $\mathbb{Z}C_2$ -resolution of \mathbb{Z} and G_\bullet the free periodic $\mathbb{Z}C_4$ -resolution.

1. Extend the identity on \mathbb{Z} to a map $\tau: F_\bullet \rightarrow G_\bullet$ of $\mathbb{Z}C_2$ -chain complexes.
2. Compute the induced map $H_n(C_2; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(C_4; \mathbb{Z})$ for all $n \geq 0$.
3. Compute the induced map $H_n(C_2; \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H_n(C_4; \mathbb{F}_2)$ for all $n \geq 0$.
4. Extend the identity on \mathbb{Z} to a map $\tau: G_\bullet \rightarrow F_\bullet$ of $\mathbb{Z}C_4$ -chain complexes.
5. Compute the induced map $H_n(C_4; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(C_2; \mathbb{Z})$ for all $n \geq 0$.
6. Compute the induced map $H_n(C_4; \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H_n(C_2; \mathbb{F}_2)$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Solution 1. (by Eliot and Damien)

1. First observe that we can see $\mathbb{Z}C_4$ as a $\mathbb{Z}C_2$ -module thanks to $i: \mathbb{Z}C_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}C_4$ so that $t \cdot x = t^2x$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}C_2$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}C_4$. Let's extend the identity on \mathbb{Z} to a map $f: F_\bullet \rightarrow G_\bullet$, so we need to find $\mathbb{Z}C_2$ homomorphisms f_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following diagram commutes :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}C_2 & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_2 & \xrightarrow{t+1} & \mathbb{Z}C_2 & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_2 & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \mathbb{Z} \\
 & & \downarrow f_3 & & \downarrow f_2 & & \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow id \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}C_4 & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_4 & \xrightarrow{t^3+t^2+t+1} & \mathbb{Z}C_4 & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_4 & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \mathbb{Z}
 \end{array}$$

Since the f_n 's are $\mathbb{Z}C_2$ homomorphisms, they're totally determined by the image of 1. Observe that we can take $f_0 = i$ and then we need that the following square commutes :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{Z}C_2 & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_2 \\
 \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow i \\
 \mathbb{Z}C_4 & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_4
 \end{array}$$

Thus we get :

$$f_1(1)(t-1) = t^2 - 1$$

Then, $f_1(1) = t+1$ works. We'll denote $f_1 = j$, observe $j(t) = t^3 + t^2$. Now we need that the following square commutes :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{Z}C_2 & \xrightarrow{t+1} & \mathbb{Z}C_2 \\
 \downarrow f_2 & & \downarrow j \\
 \mathbb{Z}C_4 & \xrightarrow{t^3+t^2+t+1} & \mathbb{Z}C_4
 \end{array}$$

Thus we get :

$$f_2(1)(t^3 + t^2 + t + 1) = t^3 + t^2 + t + 1$$

Then, $f_2(1) = 1$ works. We write $f_2 = i$. Using the periodicity of both complexes, we deduce that $f_{2n} = i$ and $f_{2n+1} = j$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}C_2 & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_2 & \xrightarrow{t+1} & \mathbb{Z}C_2 & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_2 & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \mathbb{Z} \\
 & & \downarrow j & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow j & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow id \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}C_4 & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_4 & \xrightarrow{t^3+t^2+t+1} & \mathbb{Z}C_4 & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_4 & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \mathbb{Z}
 \end{array}$$

2. Let's recall that

$$H_n(C_2; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 2k \\ \mathbb{F}_2 & \text{if } n = 2k - 1 \end{cases}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. And

$$H_n(C_4; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 2k \\ \mathbb{F}_4 & \text{if } n = 2k - 1 \end{cases}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. Now we can apply to our previous diagram the functor $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}C_2} _$ and then change the base ring of the bottom row's tensor products $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}C_2} G_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}C_4} G_\bullet$ to get chain complexes, and then the maps i and j become :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z} \\
 & & \downarrow 2 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 2 & & \downarrow 1 \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{4} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}
 \end{array}$$

And thus in the homology groups it becomes :

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_0(f; \mathbb{Z}) &= id_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\
 H_{2k}(f; \mathbb{Z}) &= 0 : 0 \rightarrow 0 \\
 H_{2k-1}(f; \mathbb{Z}) &= \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_4 ; 1 \mapsto 2
 \end{aligned}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$.

3. We apply the same idea as in the previous questions but this time with functor $\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}C_2} _$ and then $\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}C_2} G_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}C_4} G_\bullet$ to the bottom row :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{F}_2 \\
 & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow 1 \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{F}_2
 \end{array}$$

The multiplications by 2 and 4 become the 0 map since we are in \mathbb{F}_2 . So we have that :

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_n(C_2; \mathbb{F}_2) &\cong \mathbb{F}_2 \\
 H_n(C_4; \mathbb{F}_2) &\cong \mathbb{F}_2
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. And then :

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{2k}(f; \mathbb{F}_2) &= id_{\mathbb{F}_2} : \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \\
 H_{2k+1}(f; \mathbb{F}_2) &= 0 : \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

4. Notice that $\mathbb{Z}C_2$ is a $\mathbb{Z}C_4$ -module induced by the projection $p : C_4 \rightarrow C_2$: For $x \in C_4, t \in C_2$ generators we have $x \cdot 1 := p(x) \cdot 1 = t \cdot 1 = t$ (with $1 \in \mathbb{Z}C_2$), and extend linearly. In a similar fashion as in point 1, we want to extend the identity on \mathbb{Z} to a map $g : G_\bullet \rightarrow F_\bullet$, such that the following diagram commutes :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}C_4 & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_4^{t^3+t^2+t+1} & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_4 & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \mathbb{Z} \\ & & \downarrow g_3 & & \downarrow g_2 & & \downarrow g_1 & & \downarrow g_0 \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}C_2 & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_2 & \xrightarrow{t+1} & \mathbb{Z}C_2 & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_2 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

We can take $g_0 = p$ and we want to find $g_1 : \mathbb{Z}C_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}C_2$ such that the following diagram commutes (once again, each g_i is uniquely determined by its image of 1) :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}C_4 & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_4 \\ \downarrow g_1 & & \downarrow p \\ \mathbb{Z}C_2 & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_2 \end{array}$$

It should satisfy :

$$(t-1)g_1 = p(t-1) = (t-1) \text{ so we can take } g_1(1) = 1 \text{ i.e } g_1 = p$$

Moving on to the next map, we're looking for $g_2 : \mathbb{Z}C_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}C_2$ making the following diagram commute :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}C_4^{t^3+t^2+t+1} & \xrightarrow{t-1} & \mathbb{Z}C_4 \\ \downarrow g_2 & & \downarrow p \\ \mathbb{Z}C_2 & \xrightarrow{t+1} & \mathbb{Z}C_2 \end{array}$$

We get :

$$(t+1)g_2 = p(t^3+t^2+t+1) = (t+1+t+1) = 2(t+1) \text{ so we can take } g_2 = 2p$$

Going on with this method we have that $g_3 = g_2 = 2p, g_5 = g_4 = 4p$ and we begin to see a pattern. Inductively we have that $g_{2n} = g_{2n+1} = 2^n p$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5. Applying the functor $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}C_4} _$ and then change the base ring of the bottom row's tensor products $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}C_4} F_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}C_2} F_\bullet$ on the whole diagram we obtain the following for the lowest numbers of the resolution :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{4} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z} \\ & & \downarrow 2 & & \downarrow 2 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

and at step $2n \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the resolution :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{4} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{4} & \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow 2^{n+1} & & \downarrow 2^n & & \downarrow 2^n & & \downarrow 2^{n-1} \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{2} & \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

Thus we obtain for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$:

$$H_0(g; \mathbb{Z}) = id_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$H_1(g; \mathbb{Z}) = p : \mathbb{F}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$$

$$H_{2n}(g; \mathbb{Z}) = 0 : 0 \rightarrow 0$$

$$H_{2n+1}(g; \mathbb{Z}) = 0 : \mathbb{F}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$$

for the last one (H_{2n+1}), notice that the map $2^n : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ after taking the quotients gives us a map $[2^n] : \mathbb{F}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ where we send $[1] \bmod 4$ to $[2^n] \bmod 2$ which is $\equiv 0$ for $n \geq 1$.

6. Similarly, applying the functor $\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}C_4} _$ to the diagram we constructed in point 4, and then $\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}C_4} F_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}C_2} F_\bullet$ to the bottom row, we get the following diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{F}_2 \\ & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{F}_2 \end{array}$$

with 0 maps for all the other maps of the diagram. Hence we get for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$H_0(g; \mathbb{F}_2) = H_1(g; \mathbb{F}_2) = id_{\mathbb{F}_2} : \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$$

$$H_n(g; \mathbb{F}_2) = 0 : \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$$

◇ **Exercice 2. Coefficients modules.** Let G be a group and $0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{p} M'' \rightarrow 0$ a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules.

1. Prove that there is a connecting homomorphism $\partial : H_{n+1}(G; M'') \rightarrow H_n(G; M')$ such that there is a long exact sequence in homology

$$\dots H_{n+1}(G; M'') \xrightarrow{\partial} H_n(G; M') \xrightarrow{i_*} H_n(G; M) \xrightarrow{p_*} H_n(G; M'') \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$$

2. Prove the analogous statement in cohomology.
3. Compute $H_n(C_2; \mathbb{Z}/k)$ for any n and k (the coefficients have the trivial module structure).
4. Compute the connecting homomorphism associated to the exact sequence $\mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ of trivial modules, in homology and cohomology (for the group C_2). This connecting homomorphism is called the *Bockstein homomorphism*.

Solution 2. (by Douglas and Fabien)

1. Let F_\bullet be a free resolution of \mathbb{Z} over $\mathbb{Z}G$. In particular, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the module F_n is flat and we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F_n \otimes_G M' \rightarrow F_n \otimes_G M \rightarrow F_n \otimes_G M'' \rightarrow 0.$$

Pasting these together yields a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F_\bullet \otimes_G M' \rightarrow F_\bullet \otimes_G M \rightarrow F_\bullet \otimes_G M'' \rightarrow 0.$$

of chain complexes. Indeed it is immediate to see that following diagram commutes :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & F_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} M' & \xrightarrow{\delta_n \otimes \text{id}_{M'}} & F_{n-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} M' & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} M' \\
 & & \text{id}_{F_n} \otimes i \downarrow & & \text{id}_{F_{n-1}} \otimes i \downarrow & & \text{id}_{F_0} \otimes i \downarrow \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & F_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} M & \xrightarrow{\delta_n \otimes \text{id}_M} & F_{n-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} M & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} M \\
 & & \text{id}_{F_n} \otimes p \downarrow & & \text{id}_{F_{n-1}} \otimes p \downarrow & & \text{id}_{F_0} \otimes p \downarrow \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & F_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} M'' & \xrightarrow{\delta_n \otimes \text{id}_{M''}} & F_{n-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} M'' & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} M'' \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array} ,$$

where $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are the differential of F_\bullet .

Let us denote by $\{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{d'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{d''_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the differentials of the chain complexes $F_\bullet \otimes_G M$, $F_\bullet \otimes_G M'$ and $F_\bullet \otimes_G M''$ respectively. Now fix some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 F_n \otimes_G M' / \text{Im}(d'_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & F_n \otimes_G M / \text{Im}(d_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & F_n \otimes_G M'' / \text{Im}(d''_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow \overline{d'_n} & & \downarrow \overline{d_n} & & \downarrow \overline{d''_n} & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(d'_{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(d_{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(d''_{n-1})
 \end{array} ,$$

where the vertical maps are the maps induced by the differentials and the horizontal maps are induced by the maps i and p . As the rows are exact (this can be verified by hand) we may apply the snake lemma to get an exact sequence

$$\text{Ker}(\overline{d'_n}) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\overline{d_n}) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\overline{d''_n}) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\overline{d'_n}) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\overline{d_n}) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\overline{d''_n}).$$

Note that by definition we have

$$\text{Ker}(\overline{d_n}) = H_n(F_\bullet \otimes_G M) = H_n(G; M)$$

and

$$\text{Coker}(\overline{d_n}) = H_{n-1}(F_\bullet \otimes_G M) = H_{n-1}(G; M)$$

and similarly for the other chain complexes. Thus we get an exact sequence

$$H_n(G; M') \rightarrow H_n(G; M) \rightarrow H_n(G; M'') \rightarrow H_{n-1}(G; M') \rightarrow H_{n-1}(G; M) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(G; M'').$$

As n was arbitrary, we may glue all these exact sequences to get the desired result.

2. Let us now prove the analogous statement for cohomology. That is, there are homomorphisms

$$\partial : H^n(G; M'') \rightarrow H^{n+1}(G; M')$$

such that there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^n(G; M') \xrightarrow{i_*} H^n(G; M) \xrightarrow{p_*} H^n(G; M'') \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{n+1}(G; M') \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Let F_\bullet be a free resolution of \mathbb{Z} over $\mathbb{Z}G$. Now for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_n, -)$ is exact as F_n is free and in particular projective. Indeed, the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_n, -)$ is always left exact and the property that it maps every surjection to a surjection is exactly what it means for F_n to be projective.

This imply that we get as before a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_{\bullet}, M'') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_{\bullet}, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_{\bullet}, M') \rightarrow 0$$

of cochain complexes as follows :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \cdots & \longleftarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_n, M') & \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(\delta_n, M')} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_{n-1}, M') & \longleftarrow & \cdots \longleftarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_0, M') \\
 & & \downarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_n, i) & & \downarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_{n-1}, i) & & \downarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_0, i) \\
 \cdots & \longleftarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_n, M) & \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(\delta_n, M')} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_{n-1}, M) & \longleftarrow & \cdots \longleftarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_0, M) \\
 & & \downarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_n, p) & & \downarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_{n-1}, p) & & \downarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_0, p) \\
 \cdots & \longleftarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_n, M'') & \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(\delta_n, M')} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_{n-1}, M'') & \longleftarrow & \cdots \longleftarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_0, M'') \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Now the exact same argument as in point 1. shows the claim. Notice that the order of arrows is now reversed as $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(F_n, -)$ is contravariant.

3. Let us show that

$$H_n(C_2, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ C_2 & \text{if } 2|k \text{ and } n > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } 2 \nmid k \text{ and } n > 0 \end{cases} .$$

The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

where i is the multiplication by k induces a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{n+1}(C_2, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(C_2, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_n(C_2, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{p_*} H_n(C_2, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

We recall that

$$H_n(C_2, \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ C_2 & \text{if } n \geq 1 \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \geq 1 \text{ is even} \end{cases} .$$

Hence it is immediate that

$$H_0(C_2, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\text{Ker}(p_*) = \mathbb{Z}/\text{Im}(i_*) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}.$$

Now let $n > 1$ be even. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_n(C_2, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(C_2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong C_2 \xrightarrow{i_*} H_{n-1}(C_2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong C_2,$$

where i_* is the multiplication by k . We deduce that

$$H_n(C_2, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Ker}(i_*) = \begin{cases} C_2 & \text{if } 2|k \\ 0 & \text{if } 2 \nmid k \end{cases} .$$

If $n > 1$ is odd the exact sequence

$$H_n(C_2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong C_2 \xrightarrow{i_*} H_n(C_2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong C_2 \xrightarrow{p_*} H_n(C_2, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$$

shows similarly that

$$H_n(C_2, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong C_2 / \text{Ker}(p_*) = C_2 / \text{Im}(i_*) = \begin{cases} C_2 & \text{if } 2|k \\ 0 & \text{if } 2 \nmid k \end{cases}$$

because i_* is the zero map whenever $2|k$ and it is the identity otherwise. The only case remaining is $n = 1$. We have an exact sequence

$$C_2 \xrightarrow{i_*} C_2 \xrightarrow{p_*} H_1(C_2, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{k} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Notice that $\partial = 0$ as multiplication by k is an injection. This imply that p_* is a surjection by exactness. Hence,

$$H_1(C_2, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong C_2 / \text{Ker}(p_*) = C_2 / \text{Im}(i_*).$$

If 2 divides k , i_* is the zero map. If 2 does not divides k , the map i_* is the identity. This concludes the proof.

4. We start with the homology case.

Let us denote by $\partial_n : H_{n+1}(C_2, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(C_2, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ the n -th connecting morphism. By questions 1. and 3. there is a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{p_*} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \dots$$

We have that $\partial_n : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is either the zero map or the identity. We will compute the induced maps $i_* : H_n(C_2, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(C_2, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ at each degree to deduce ∂_n . Let us take the periodic free resolution F_\bullet of \mathbb{Z} as in Example 4.5 of the lecture notes, where each F_n is a copy of $\mathbb{Z}C_2$. There is a commutating diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{2} & \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{2} & \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

where the first row is $F_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}C_2} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, the second row is $F_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}C_2} \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ and the vertical maps are the maps induced by i on tensor products. The maps i_* are induced by these vertical morphisms on homology groups. Hence we deduce that i_* is the injection $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ on degree 0, the zero map on odd degrees $n \geq 1$ and the identity on even degrees $n \geq 1$. By the above long exact sequence this implies that

$$\partial_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

We now do the cohomology case.

By Question 2 we have a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^n(C_2; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H^n(C_2; \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{p_*} H^n(C_2; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} H^{n+1}(C_2; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Let F_\bullet be the same resolution as above, as in Example 4.5 of the lecture notes. From this resolution, we can construct the two following cochain complexes :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}C_2}(\mathbb{Z}C_2, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}C_2}(\mathbb{Z}C_2, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}C_2}(\mathbb{Z}C_2, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ \downarrow i_0=i_0- & & \downarrow i_1=i_0- & & \downarrow i_2=i_0- & & \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}C_2}(\mathbb{Z}C_2, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}C_2}(\mathbb{Z}C_2, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}C_2}(\mathbb{Z}C_2, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

where the first row is $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}C_2}(F_\bullet, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, the second row is $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}C_2}(F_\bullet, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ and the vertical maps are the map induced by i .

As $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}C_2}(\mathbb{Z}C_2, M) \cong M$ for any module M , this is equivalent to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \cdots \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \\ \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{2} & \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{2} & \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \cdots \end{array},$$

where the horizontal maps come from the free periodic resolution of \mathbb{Z} . By definition,

$$H^n(C_2; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = H^n(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}C_2}(F_\bullet; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}))$$

so we get

$$H^n(C_2; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$H^n(C_2; \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n \geq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Thus our long exact sequence becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{p_*} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\partial_0} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{p_*} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} \cdots$$

Moreover, the vertical maps i of the above diagram induce the maps i_* on cohomology groups. On degree 0, we get that i_* is the injection $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. On odd degree $n \geq 1$, it is the identity map and on even degree $n \geq 1$, it is the zero map.

Using this in our long exact sequence, we get that

$$\partial_n = \begin{cases} \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}.$$

◇ **Exercise 3. Ext and extensions. Reminder from rings and modules?** Let R be a ring, and A, B two left R -modules. Let ξ denote an extension of A by B in R -modules, i.e. a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$. We denote by $\Theta(\xi) \in \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B)$ the image of the identity under the connecting homomorphism $\partial: \text{Hom}_R(A, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B)$ induced by the short exact sequence ξ (in the long “Hom-Ext” exact sequence). The objective of this exercise is to prove that Θ is a bijection between the set of equivalence classes of extensions of A by B and $\text{Ext}_R^1(A, B)$. We also fix a projective cover $P \rightarrow A$ and call K its kernel.

1. Prove that $\Theta(\xi) = 0$ if and only if ξ splits (as the trivial extension).
2. Show that any element $x \in \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B)$ is in the image of the connecting homomorphism ∂ induced by the short exact sequence $K \xrightarrow{j} P \rightarrow A$ (it is $\partial(\beta)$ for a homomorphism $\beta: K \rightarrow B$).
3. Let X be the pushout of j and β in R -modules, given by the cokernel of $(j, -\beta)$. Show that there is an extension $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow X \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ and prove that Θ sends it to x .
4. Show that another preimage β' of x under ∂ , as in 2, gives rise to an equivalent extension $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow X' \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$.
5. For any extension ξ , show that there is a map of extensions from P to E , which yields an isomorphism between the pushout of the left square and E .
6. Conclude that Θ is injective.

Solution 3. (by Raphaël and Xie)

1. Let

$$\xi : 0 \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} A \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of left R -modules and consider the long exact sequence given to us by applying $\text{Hom}_R(A, \cdot)$ to ξ :

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, B) \xrightarrow{i_*} \text{Hom}_R(A, E) \xrightarrow{p_*} \text{Hom}_R(A, A) \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B) \xrightarrow{i_*} \text{Ext}_R^1(A, E) \longrightarrow \dots$$

with $i_*(f) = i \circ f, p_*(g) = p \circ g$ and ∂ the connecting morphism induced by ξ that makes the sequence exact.

If ξ splits, there exists $s : A \rightarrow E$ such that $p \circ s = \text{id}_A$, so $\text{id}_A \in \text{Im}(p_*)$, hence by exactness of the sequence above $\partial(\text{id}_A) = 0$.

Conversely, if $\partial(\text{id}_A) = 0$, exactness of the sequence above gives $\text{id}_A = p_*(s) = p \circ s$ for some $s : A \rightarrow E$, so ξ splits.

2. As stated, let $\pi : P \rightarrow A$ be a projective cover with kernel K :

$$\xi_P : 0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{j} P \xrightarrow{\pi} A \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying $\text{Hom}_R(\cdot, B)$ yields the exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, B) \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(P, B) = 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

with the last equality $\text{Ext}_R^1(P, B) = 0$ a result of P being projective. In particular this means that $\partial : \text{Hom}_R(K, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B)$ is surjective, i.e. every element of $\text{Ext}_R^1(A, B)$ is $\partial(\beta)$ for some morphism $\beta : K \rightarrow B$.

3. Let $\beta : K \rightarrow B$ an R -modules morphism, and let X be the pushout of $j : K \rightarrow P$ and β , i.e. :

$$X = (B \oplus P) / \text{Im}(j, -\beta).$$

The goal of the exercise is to show that there exists an extension ξ_β

$$\xi_\beta : 0 \longrightarrow B \rightarrow X \rightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

and that $\Theta(\xi_\beta) := \partial(\text{Id}_A) = \partial_P(\beta)$, with ∂ the connecting morphism $\partial : \text{Hom}_R(A, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B)$ induced by ξ_β , while ∂_P is the connecting morphism $\partial_P : \text{Hom}_R(K, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B)$ induced by the short exact sequence $\xi_P : 0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\iota : B \rightarrow X$ be induced by the inclusion $B \rightarrow B \oplus P$ and $\rho : X \rightarrow A$ be induced by the projection $B \oplus P \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{q} A$ (note that ρ is well defined on X as $q \circ j = 0$). We show that

$$0 \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{\iota} X \xrightarrow{\rho} A \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. It is obvious that ρ is surjective and that $\text{Im}(\iota) \subset \text{Ker}(\rho)$. We need to show that ι is injective and that $\text{Ker}(\rho) \subset \text{Im}(\iota)$.

First injectivity of ι : let $b \in B$ with $\iota(b) = 0$, then by construction of X this means that there exists $k \in K$ s.t. $b = \beta(k)$ and $j(k) = 0$. Injectivity of j implies that $k = 0$ therefore $b = 0$, meaning that ι is injective.

For the inclusion $\text{Ker}(\rho) \subset \text{Im}(\iota)$: let $(b, p) \in B \oplus P$ s.t. $\overline{(b, p)} \in \text{Ker}(\rho)$, then $q(p) = 0$, therefore $p = j(k)$ for some $k \in K$, but $\overline{(b, j(k))} = \overline{(b + \beta(k), 0)} = \iota(b + \beta(k))$ i.e. $\overline{(b, p)} \in \text{Im}(\iota)$, meaning we have $\text{Ker}(\rho) \subset \text{Im}(\iota)$.

By construction of the pushout X , $j(k) = \beta(k)$ in X for all $k \in K$, meaning the following diagram commutes :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{j} & P & \xrightarrow{\pi} & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \beta \downarrow & & \iota_P \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with ι_P the map induced by the inclusion $P \subset B \oplus P$. In particular, ξ_β is the pushout extension of ξ_P by β .

Let us now remember the following characterizations of the connecting morphisms ∂ and ∂_P . In particular, $\partial(\text{id}_A)$ is the class of the pullback extension of ξ_β obtained from id_A (i.e. ξ_β), while $\partial_P(\beta)$ is the class of the pushout extension of ξ_P obtained from β (also ξ_β). Both are the same, hence our result.

4. Let $\beta, \beta' \in \text{Hom}_R(K, B)$ represent the same class $x \in \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B)$ via the connecting map $\partial : \text{Hom}_R(K, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B)$. Then $\beta' - \beta$ lies in the image of $j^* : \text{Hom}_R(P, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, B)$, so there exists $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(P, B)$ with

$$\beta' = \beta + \varphi \circ j.$$

Form the cokernels

$$X = (B \oplus P) / \text{im}(\beta, -j), \quad X' = (B \oplus P) / \text{im}(\beta', -j).$$

Define an R -linear map $T : B \oplus P \rightarrow B \oplus P$ by

$$T(b, p) = (b + \varphi(p), p).$$

Then T is an automorphism with inverse $T^{-1}(b, p) = (b - \varphi(p), p)$, and a straightforward computation gives for every $k \in K$:

$$T(\beta(k), -j(k)) = (\beta(k) + \varphi(j(k)), -j(k)) = (\beta'(k), -j(k)).$$

Hence T maps $\text{im}(\beta, -j)$ isomorphically onto $\text{im}(\beta', -j)$, so it induces an isomorphism $\bar{T} : X \xrightarrow{\sim} X'$ of cokernels.

Finally, \bar{T} fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \bar{T} \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

because on representatives $q(b, 0) \mapsto q'(b, 0)$ (where q, q' are the quotient maps) and \bar{T} preserves the projection to A (it fixes the P -coordinate). Thus the two short exact sequences are equivalent.

5. Consider

$$\xi : \quad 0 \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} A \longrightarrow 0, \quad 0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{j} P \xrightarrow{\pi} A \longrightarrow 0$$

with P a projective cover of A .

Since P is projective, there exists a lifting $s : P \rightarrow E$ with $p \circ s = \pi$. For $k \in K$ we have $\pi(k) = 0$, hence $p(s(k)) = 0$ and $s(k) \in i(B)$. Thus there is a unique $\beta : K \rightarrow B$ with $s|_K = i \circ \beta$.

Define $\Phi : B \oplus P \rightarrow E$ by $\Phi(b, p) := i(b) + s(p)$. For $k \in K$,

$$\Phi(\beta(k), -j(k)) = i(\beta(k)) + s(-j(k)) = s(k) - s(j(k)) = 0,$$

so $\text{im}(\beta, -j) \subset \text{Ker } \Phi$. Therefore Φ factors through the cokernel

$$X = (B \oplus P) / \text{im}(\beta, -j)$$

and we obtain $\bar{\Phi} : X \rightarrow E$.

Surjectivity : For any $e \in E$ let $a := p(e) \in A$. Choose $p_0 \in P$ with $\pi(p_0) = a$. Then $e - s(p_0) \in \text{Ker } p = i(B)$, so $e = \Phi(b, p_0)$ for some $b \in B$; hence $\bar{\Phi}$ is surjective.

Injectivity : If $\bar{\Phi}(q(b, p)) = 0$ then $i(b) + s(p) = 0$, so $\pi(p) = p(i(b) + s(p)) = 0$, hence $p \in K$. But then $s(p) = i(\beta(p))$, thus $i(b) + i(\beta(p)) = 0$, i.e. $b = -\beta(p)$. Therefore $(b, p) \in \text{im}(\beta, -j)$, so $q(b, p) = 0$. Hence $\bar{\Phi}$ is injective.

So $\bar{\Phi} : X \xrightarrow{\sim} E$ is an isomorphism of R -modules. One checks easily that $\bar{\Phi}$ identifies the inclusion $B \rightarrow X$ with $i : B \rightarrow E$ and commutes with the projections to A . Thus the pushout extension $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow X \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ is isomorphic (as an extension) to the given ξ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \bar{\Phi} \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

6. Injectivity of Θ : Suppose two extensions

$$\xi_1 : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0, \quad \xi_2 : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

have the same image under Θ , i.e. $\Theta(\xi_1) = \Theta(\xi_2) \in \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B)$.

Choose the projective presentation

$$0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{j} P \xrightarrow{\pi} A \rightarrow 0,$$

and let $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \text{Hom}_R(K, B)$ correspond to ξ_1, ξ_2 via the construction above. Equality of $\Theta(\xi_1)$ and $\Theta(\xi_2)$ means

$$\partial(\beta_1) = \partial(\beta_2),$$

where $\partial : \text{Hom}_R(K, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B)$ is the connecting map. Hence $\beta_1 - \beta_2$ lies in the image of $j^* : \text{Hom}_R(P, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, B)$, so there exists $\varphi : P \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\beta_2 = \beta_1 + \varphi \circ j.$$

By the previous result, β_1 and β_2 then yield equivalent extensions

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

But each X_i is isomorphic to E_i (by the canonical isomorphism constructed from P to E_i). Therefore ξ_1 and ξ_2 are equivalent as extensions.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \simeq \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Hence Θ is injective.

◇ **Exercice 4. Functorial properties of extensions.** Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be an extension of G by an abelian group A . We fix homomorphisms $\alpha : G' \rightarrow G$ and $f : A \rightarrow A'$ a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules.

1. Show that the pullback of extensions along α corresponds to $\alpha_*: H^2(G; A) \rightarrow H^2(G'; \alpha^*(A))$.
2. Show that E acts on A' via the projection $E \rightarrow G$, so we can form the semi-direct product $A' \rtimes E$.
3. Let N be the subgroup in $A' \rtimes E$ generated by elements of the form $(-f(a), a)$. Show that N is a normal
4. Show that A' is a subgroup of $(A' \rtimes E)/N$ whose quotient is isomorphic to G .
5. Prove that the induced map of extensions corresponds to the map $f_*: H^2(G; A) \rightarrow H^2(G; A')$.

Solution 4. (Adapted from Qian and Zichun)

We write $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\iota} E \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 1$

1. Define the pullback along α by

$$E' = \{(g', e) \in G' \times E \mid \alpha(g') = \pi(e)\}.$$

Then

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\iota'} E' \longrightarrow G' \longrightarrow 1$$

is an extension of G' by the G' -module α^*A , where the G' -action on A is given by $g' \cdot a = \alpha(g') \cdot a$. On the other hand, the pullback on cohomology is defined as :

$$\alpha_*: H^2(G; A) \longrightarrow H^2(G'; \alpha^*A), \quad [c] \mapsto [c \circ (\alpha \times \alpha)].$$

It suffices to show that for a set-theoretical section s of π and factor set $f: G \times G \rightarrow A$ such that $s(g)s(h) = \iota(f(g, h))s(gh)$, $f \circ (\alpha \times \alpha)$ is a factor set $G' \times G' \rightarrow A$ corresponding to a section s' of E' . Define $s': G' \rightarrow E'$ by $s'(g) = (s(\alpha(g)), g)$.

We compute :

$$\begin{aligned} s'(g)s'(h) &= (s(\alpha(g)), g)(s(\alpha(h)), h) \\ &= (s(\alpha(g))s(\alpha(h)), gh) \\ &= (f(\alpha(g), \alpha(h))s(gh), gh) \\ &= (f(\alpha(g), \alpha(h)), 1)(s(\alpha(gh)), gh) \\ &= \iota'(f(\alpha(g), \alpha(h)))s'(gh) \end{aligned}$$

2. Since A' is a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, each $g \in G$ acts on A' . For $e \in E$ define

$$e \cdot a' := \pi(e) \cdot a', \quad (a' \in A').$$

This makes A' into an E -module, and we can form the semidirect product

$$A' \rtimes E = \{(a', e) \mid a' \in A', e \in E\}$$

with multiplication law

$$(a'_1, e_1) \cdot (a'_2, e_2) = (a'_1 + \pi(e_1) \cdot a'_2, e_1 e_2).$$

3. Let N be the subgroup of $A' \rtimes E$ generated by all elements of the form $(-f(a), a)$, $a \in A$. For $(x, e) \in A' \rtimes E$, let $g = \pi(e) \in G$. Recall

$$(x, e)^{-1} = (-g^{-1} \cdot x, e^{-1}).$$

Now compute the conjugation of a generator of N by (x, e) :

$$(x, e) \cdot (-f(a), a) \cdot (x, e)^{-1}.$$

First,

$$(x, e) \cdot (-f(a), a) = (x + g \cdot (-f(a)), ea).$$

Multiplying by $(x, e)^{-1} = (-g^{-1} \cdot x, e^{-1})$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & (x + g \cdot (-f(a)), ea) \cdot (-g^{-1} \cdot x, e^{-1}) \\ &= (x + g \cdot (-f(a)) + \pi(ea) \cdot (-g^{-1} \cdot x), eae^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi(ea) = \pi(e) = g$ (because $a \in A = \text{Ker } \pi$), we have

$$\pi(ea) \cdot (-g^{-1} \cdot x) = g \cdot (-g^{-1} \cdot x) = -x.$$

Hence the A' -component simplifies to

$$x + g \cdot (-f(a)) - x = g \cdot (-f(a)) = -g \cdot f(a).$$

Because f is $\mathbb{Z}G$ -linear, we have $g \cdot f(a) = f(g \cdot a)$. The E -component is eae^{-1} , which equals $g \cdot a$ since the conjugation action of E on A corresponds to the G -action on A .

Therefore,

$$(x, e) (-f(a), a) (x, e)^{-1} = (-f(g \cdot a), g \cdot a),$$

which is again an element of the form $(-f(a'), a')$ with $a' = g \cdot a \in A$. Thus every conjugate of a generator of N lies in N , and so N is a normal subgroup of $A' \rtimes E$.

4. First, we show that A' is a subgroup of $(A' \rtimes E)/N$. For that it suffices to show that the composition

$$A' \rightarrow A' \rtimes E \rightarrow (A' \rtimes E)/N$$

is injective. Take an element $a' \in A'$ such that its image under the above is $[0] \in (A' \rtimes E)/N$. Then, the image of a' in $A' \rtimes E$ is in N , so $(a', 0)$ has the form of $(-f(a), a)$, but this gives $a' = 0$.

Next, we show that A' is a normal subgroup. Indeed $(b', e) \cdot (a', 0) \cdot (b', e)^{-1} = (-g \cdot a', 0)$. We can take the quotient by A' .

Then, we show that the quotient of $(A' \rtimes E)/N$ by A' is isomorphic to G . Consider $p : A' \rtimes E \rightarrow G$ sending $e = (b', (c, g)) \in A' \rtimes E$ to g .

This group homomorphism factors through $(A' \rtimes E)/N$ because $p((-f(a), a)) = p((-f(a), (a, 1))) = 1$. We get an induced homomorphism $p' : (A' \rtimes E)/N \rightarrow G$. It factors through the quotient $((A' \rtimes E)/N)/A'$ because $p'([(a', (0, 1))]) = p((a', (0, 1))) = 1$.

We have now a group homomorphism $p'' : ((A' \rtimes E)/N)/A' \rightarrow G$. Then it suffices to prove p'' is bijection by constructing its inverse $q : G \rightarrow ((A' \rtimes E)/N)/A'$ by sending $g \in G$ to $[(0, (0, g))]$. We proved that the quotient is isomorphic to G .

5. Choose a normalized section $s : G \rightarrow E$ with $s(g)s(h) = \iota(c(g, h))s(gh)$, where $c \in Z^2(G; A)$. In $(A' \rtimes E)/N$, the section $\tilde{s}(g) = (0, s(g))$ satisfies :

$$\tilde{s}(g)\tilde{s}(h) = (0, s(g)s(h)) = (0, \iota(c(g, h))s(gh)) \equiv (f(c(g, h)), s(gh)) \pmod{N}.$$

Hence the 2-cocycle representing this new extension is $f \circ c \in Z^2(G; A')$. Consequently, the cohomology class of $(A' \rtimes E)/N$ is

$$f_*([c]) \in H^2(G; A').$$